	1 REAL ESTATE DIVISION,
	DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY,
:	STATE OF NEVADA
	4 * * *
!	GAIL J. ANDERSON, Administrator, REAL ESTATE DIVISION, DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY, STATE OF NEVADA. STIPULATION FOR SETTLEMENT OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION PURSUANT TO NAC 645C.610(3)
•	7 Petitioner,
3) Case No. Ar 10.025.5 and Ar 10.030.
9)
10) Respondent.
11)
12	STIPULATION FOR SETTLEMENT OF DISCIPLINARY
13	
14	
15	ESTATE DIVISION, DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY, STATE OF NEVADA (the "Division"),
16	by and through its Administrator, GAIL J. ANDERSON (the "Administrator"), and the Respondent,
17	MARK ORGILL (whether one or more hereinafter the "Respondent"), who at relevant times was
18	licensed by the State of Nevada, license number(s) A.0003131-CR. This Stipulation is entered into
19	upon mutual agreement with the decision of the Appraisal Advisory Review Committee (the
20	"AARC"), which was reached at its informal conference held on May 9, 2011, in LAS VEGAS,
21	NEVADA. Members of the AARC present were Dennis Baughman, Robert Seffan, and Debbie
22	Huber, CHAIR.
23	2. <u>AUTHORITY OF THE APPRAISAL ADVISORY REVIEW COMMITTEE.</u> The Appraisal
24	Advisory Review Committee has authority to enter into this Stipulation with the Respondent
25	pursuant to NAC 645C.610(3).
26	3. <u>FINDINGS.</u> The AARC has found that the Respondent, while licensed, certified or
27	registered as a Nevada Appraiser, committed violations of chapter 645C of Nevada Revised

Statutes and Nevada Administrative Code and/or USPAP. A description of the conduct in which these violations were committed is set forth in specificity in the Summary of Facts which is attached hereto as EXHIBIT "A". The Administrator has the authority, pursuant to NAC 645C.600(2), to establish an advisory committee in an attempt to review this matter informally and recommend a resolution.

- 4. <u>NO ADMISSION OF GUILT.</u> The Respondent does not admit or deny the findings of the AARC, choosing to remain silent, but does agree that the findings establish a prima facie case for the discipline set forth below and stipulates, subject to the limitations and conditions set forth below, that the Division shall not be required to provide further evidence of such allegations.
- 5. <u>SETTLEMENT FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION.</u> As set forth above, the AARC is authorized under NAC 645C.610 to impose an administrative fine, upon final approval by the Commission. The Division also has the option to file a complaint with the Nevada Commission of Appraisers of Real Estate (the "Commission"). The Commission has the authority pursuant to NRS 645C.460(2)(d) to impose a fine of up to \$10,000 for each violation alleged or to suspend or revoke the Respondent's certificate, license or registration card. The parties, however, desire to compromise and settle the instant controversy, without a hearing, upon the following terms and conditions:
- a. Committee Recommendations: RESPONDENT work with a certified appraiser who will supervise the completion of the first ten assignments completed after the approval of this agreement. The supervisor must be approved by the Division. All costs associated with the review will be paid by the Respondent. It was also Recommended that RESPONDENT complete a 15 hour National USPAP course, a 15 hour Cost Approach course, both in a class room setting and a 30 hour Advanced Residential Sales Comparison Approach course. Respondent must pass the final examination for each course. The courses hours may not be used to satisfy the continuing education requirements. Courses must be taken within six months.
- b. Public Record. RESPONDENT and the DIVISION agree that by entering into this Stipulation, the DIVISION does not concede any defense or mitigation RESPONDENT may assert, and the parties agree that the DIVISION will not publicize the instant disciplinary matter, except as set forth below, and that once this Stipulation is approved and fully performed, the DIVISION will

- c. Newsletter. RESPONDENT and the DIVISION agree that the DIVISION, at its discretion, may publish in the newsletter an anonymous summary of the alleged offenses of RESPONDENT and the terms of this Stipulation, with the understanding of all parties that such publication will not specifically name RESPONDENT or make reference to any other party; RESPONDENT will be referred to only as a licensee in the State of Nevada. It is further understood by the parties that this publication is for educational purposes only and to advise other licensees of the alleged violation(s) and that disciplinary action has been taken by the DIVISION;
- d. Failure to perform; hearing on complaint.

 RESPONDENT agrees that if the required education is not completed in the time allowed above, RESPONDENT'S license will be automatically suspended until such time as the fine is paid and continuing education requirement satisfied. The DIVISION may, at its option, rescind this Stipulation and proceed with filing a Complaint before the Commission. Further, recovery actions for the administrative fines may be instituted by the DIVISION;
- e. No other remedies. Assuming Respondent complies with the terms of this stipulation, the Division agrees not to pursue any other or greater remedies or fines in connection with the conduct referenced in above unless stipulation is rescinded.
- f. Waiver by Respondent. RESPONDENT agrees and understands that by entering into this Stipulation, RESPONDENT is waiving his/her right to a hearing at which RESPONDENT may present evidence in his/her defense and to be represented by counsel, to judicial review of any adverse decision by the Commission, and to present his/her defense to a Commission which has had no prior familiarity with the instant matter. The Commission members who review this matter for approval of this Stipulation may be the same members who ultimately hear the DIVISION'S complaint if this Stipulation is either not approved by the Commission or is not timely performed by RESPONDENT; and
 - g. Attorney fees and other costs. Each party shall bear its own attorney's fees and

other costs not specifically set forth in this Stipulation.

1 |

:15

- himself/herself or his/her heirs, executors, administrators, successors, and assigns, hereby release, remiss, and forever discharge the State of Nevada, the Department of Business and Industry of the State of Nevada, the Division, and each of their members, agents, and employees in their individual and representative capacities, from any and all manner of actions, causes of action, suits, debts, judgments, executions, claims, and demands whatsoever, known and unknown, in law or equity, that the Respondents ever had, now has, may have, or claims to have against any or all of the persons or entities named in this section, arising out of or by reason of the Division's investigation, this disciplinary action, or any other matter relating thereto.
- 7. INDEMNIFICATION. Respondent hereby indemnifies and holds harmless the State of Nevada, the Department of Business and Industry of the State of Nevada, the Division, and each of their members, agents, and employees in their individual and representative capacities against any and all claims, suits, and actions brought against said persons and/or entities by reason of the investigation of the allegations in the Complaint, this disciplinary action and all other matters relating thereto, and against any and all expenses, damages, and costs, including court costs and attorney fees, which may be sustained by the persons and/or entities named in this section as a result of said claims, suits, and actions.

8. COMMISSION APPROVAL OF STIPULATION NECESSARY.

Once executed, this Stipulation will be filed with the Commission and will be put on the agenda for approval at its meeting, which by Nevada law is a public meeting. At that time, the DIVISION will recommend to the Commission approval of the Stipulation. RESPONDENT acknowledges and agrees that the Commission may approve this Stipulation, reject it, or suggest different terms which must be communicated to RESPONDENT and accepted or rejected by RESPONDENT before any such amendment shall become effective.

- 9. <u>SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS NOT EVIDENCE.</u> Any statements made during the discussions leading up to this Stipulation may not be discussed or introduced into evidence at any hearing. However, evidence of the Respondent's failure to abide by the terms of any Stipulation entered into with the Division, may be introduced at a hearing and used against the Respondent.
 - 10. STIPULATION IS NOT A BAR TO FUTURE PROCEEDINGS. This Stipulation shall not

	constitute an estopper, merger of par to any administrative or civil proceeding by the Division
	with respect to any future matters or other matters that were not consideration for this
	Stipulation.
3	3
4	· · · · ·
5	5 ····
6	SO STIPULATED.
7	
8	Business and Industry, State of Nevada
9	
10	By Stiel anderson
11	GAIL J ANDERSON, Administrator
12	1-2121 MID-10
13	Dated:
14	Respondent
15	ORDER
16	The foregoing Stipulation was approved by a vote of the Nevada Commission of
17	Appraisers of Real Estate on Qune 7, 2011
18	DATED this 2th day of 2 unc 2011.
19	
20	NEVADA COMMISSION OF APPRAISERS OF REAL ESTATE
21	
22	Commissioner Charlie
23	COMMISSIONE
24	
25	
26	
27	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, hereby certify that I am an employee of the Real Estate Division, Department of Business and Industry, State of Nevada and that on the 9th day of JUNE, 2011 I served a fully executed copy of the foregoing STIPULATION FOR SETTLEMENT OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION PURSUANT TO NAC 645C.610(3) by depositing a copy of the same in the State of Nevada mailroom, properly addressed, certified return receipt requested, addressed as follows:

CERTIFIED MAIL # 7011 0470 0003 3509 0875

MARK ORGILL 1950 GUINN DR HENDERSON, NV 89014

Linda Pierson, Commission Coordinator



State of Nevada Department of Business & Industry Real Estate Division Appraisal Advisory Review Committee 2501 E. Sahara Avenue, Suite 102 Las Vegas, Nevada 89104

COMMITTEE REPORT

Date of AARC meeting:

Case No.

Respondent:

License No.

Appraisal Officer:

Committee members:

May 9, 2011

AP10.029.S and AP10.030.S

Mark Orgill

A.0003131-CR

Brenda Kindred-Kipling

Debbie Huber, Chair, Denny Baughman,

Robert Stefan

CASE AP10.029.S

Findings:

The Committee determined that in the case of the appraisal of a single family residence located at 7808 Island Rail Dr., N Las Vegas, NV dated February 28, 2009. RESPONDENT violated the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice in that he failed to choose the most comparable sales available at the time of the report, he failed to complete the cost approach correctly and failed to keep a complete

workfile.

CASE AP10.030.S FINDINGS:

The Committee determined that in the case of the appraisal of a single family residence located at 725 Kendall Ave., Boulder City NV dated February 18. 2009. RESPONDENT violated the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice in that he failed to choose the most comparable sales available at the time of the report, he failed to complete the cost approach correctly and failed to correctly address the market conditions.

Violations:

ETHICS RULE

Record Keeping: Case AP10.029.S only. An appraiser must prepare a workfile for each appraisal, appraisal review, or appraisal consulting assignment. The workfile must include: all other data, information, and documentation necessary to support the appraiser's opinions and conclusions and to show compliance with the Rule and all other applicable Standards, or references to the location of such other documentation.

Standards Rule 1-1

In developing a real property appraisal, an appraiser

- (a) be aware of, understand, and correctly employ those recognized methods and techniques that are necessary to produce a credible appraisal.
- (b) Not commit a substantial error of omission or commission that significantly affects an appraisal; and
- (c) Not render appraisal services in a careless or negligent manner, such as by making a series of errors that, although individually might not significantly affect the results of an appraisal, in the aggregate affects the credibility of those results.

Standards Rule 1-4

- (a) When a sales comparison approach is applicable, an appraiser must analyze such comparable sales data as are available to indicate a value conclusion.
 (b) When a cost approach is necessary for credible assignment results, an appraiser must;
 - (i)develop an opinion if site value by an appropriate appraisal methods or techniques:

Standards Rule 2-1

Each written or oral real property appraisal report must:

- (a) clearly and accurately set forth the appraisal in a manner which will not be misleading;
- (b) Contain sufficient information to enable the intended users of the appraisal to understand the report properly;

Standards Rule 2-2(b) (viii)

Summarize the information analyzed, the appraisal methods and techniques employed, and the reasoning that supports the analyses, opinions and conclusions:

Committee recommendation:

The Committee recommended that RESPONDENT work with a certified appraiser who will supervise the completion of the first ten assignments completed after the approval of this agreement. The supervisor must be approved by the Division. All costs associated with the review will be paid by the Respondent. It was also Recommended that RESPONDENT complete a 15 hour National USPAP course, a 15 hour Cost approach course, both in a class room setting and a 30 hour Advanced Residential Sales Comparison Approach course. Respondent must pass the final examination for each course. The courses hours may not be used to satisfy the continuing education requirements. Courses must be taken within six months.

Respondents agreed.

This report is hereby submitted on this the __9th___ day of May. 2011.

Appraisal Advisory Review Committee

Debbie Huber, Chair